Global Progress toward Protecting Children from Physical Punishment

Efforts to end physical punishment—the most common form of violence experienced by children—continue to gain momentum around the world. Activities at the international, national, and community levels span human rights, law, research, education, and advocacy. Current and comprehensive information about many of these global activities may be found at Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children.

Fifty two countries have now banned physical punishment of children in all settings (homes, schools, alternative care). Since our last update, Slovenia, Paraguay, and Lithuania have taken this foundational step to protect their young citizens. Another 55 countries have committed to full prohibition. Canada is not (yet) among them (see Canadian Law).

Physical punishment is currently prohibited in schools in 129 countries, alternative care and day care in 159, penal institutions in 138, and as a sentence for crime in 164 countries. Though 27% of the world’s nations now prohibit physical punishment in all settings, these prohibitions protect only 10% of the world’s children.

Many international human rights monitoring bodies have declared that physical punishment of children contravenes treaty obligations. The UN Committee on the Rights of the Child—which monitors compliance with the Convention on the Rights of the Child—has repeatedly urged Canada to repeal the law that denies children the same protection from assault as adults (see Canadian Law).

There is growing support around the world from faith communities for the elimination of physical punishment. Faith leaders are challenging law, punitive parenting practices, and interpretations of scripture claimed by some to condone or require harsh parental discipline of children.

Notions that the rights of some people compete with, or supersede, the rights of others are being challenged. Paulo Sérgio Pinheiro, the Independent Expert who led the UN Study on Violence against Children, has observed that “…the Study recognizes that all forms of violence are linked to entrenched gender roles and inequalities, and that the violation of the rights of children is closely linked to the status of women.”

Two ambitious global initiatives that target violence against the children of the world were launched last year. One of the many specific targets of the 17 major Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) adopted by the nations of the world is to, “End abuse, exploitation, trafficking and all forms of violence against and torture of children”. One of the indicators of progress toward this target will be annual tracking of “any physical punishment and violent disciplinary measures” experienced by children. The second, and related, initiative is the formation of a Global Partnership to End Violence Against Children to “support the efforts of those seeking to prevent violence, protect childhood, and help make societies safe for children.”
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Research
There is an ever-growing body of research on the damaging impact of toxic stress on the young child’s brain and subsequent development. The term “ACEs” (adverse childhood experiences) is now commonly used to refer to the kinds of early experiences that generate toxic stress and put children at risk for disrupted neurodevelopment and subsequent social, emotional, and cognitive impairment. Physical punishment is an ACE.

A recent meta-analysis—which examined studies across designs, countries and age groups—has convincingly demonstrated that spanking is associated with an increased risk of 10 negative childhood outcomes and 3 negative adult outcomes.

Positive Discipline
The Positive Discipline in Everyday Parenting (PDEP) program is being implemented around the world, in answer to the universal question, “If I don’t hit my child, what do I do?” It was created by Dr. Joan Durrant in partnership with Save the Children Sweden. It is a non-punitive approach to parenting that strengthens parents’ empathy, knowledge of children’s brain development, and skills in solving problems with their children collaboratively. Most recently, a group of Canadian PDEP trainers travelled to Indonesia where the program is being offered in small villages to parents with low literacy. Thailand, Mongolia and Fiji are joining the list of countries rolling out the program. Systematic evaluation of the program is underway in Kosovo and in Canada.

For more information, visit the PDEP website (www.positivedisciplineeveryday.com). The parent book and two articles presenting evidence of the program’s success can be downloaded for free from the website of the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO, link below).

Canadian Law
Section 43 of the Criminal Code of Canada, an old British law, provides a legal defence for a parent or person acting in the place of a parent charged with assault in the correction of a child’s behaviour. Since 1994, 16 private members’ bills to repeal or amend section 43 have been tabled in Parliament—8 in the House of Commons and 8 in the Senate—and have failed. The Liberal and Conservative governments of the day opposed every bill.

In December 2015, Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette tabled a 17th private member’s bill (S-206) to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code. Following her retirement, Senator Murray Sinclair assumed sponsorship of the bill. It was at 2nd reading when Parliament adjourned for the summer. Three Senators have spoken in support of passage of the bill; no Senators have, to date, spoken in opposition to it. If S-206 passes 2nd reading, it will go to 3rd reading and review by the Standing Senate Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs for study. If the bill passes 3rd reading, it would be sent to the House of Commons where the same staged process of debate and review would be repeated. We encourage readers of this update to communicate their views about repeal of section 43 to Senators.

Prospects for repeal of section 43 seem more favourable than they have been in a long time, bolstered by the (1) compelling research evidence that physical punishment is linked to broad and enduring personal and societal harm; (2) greater attention being paid to children’s human rights; (3) growing number of authoritative Canadian voices advocating repeal; and (4) Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada (Calls to Action).

The education acts of every territory and all but two provinces (Alberta, Manitoba) legally prohibit physical punishment of students in their publically funded schools. No provincial/territorial child welfare legislation (yet) addresses physical punishment either as a duty to report or as a risk to children.
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To date, nearly 600 organizations representing most sectors of the Canadian community and 31 distinguished Canadians have endorsed the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth (www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment). These organizations span: health, indigenous, faith, research, business, union, women’s, child welfare, family services, human rights, provincial/territorial child and youth advocate, violence prevention, youth, education, and sport communities. Many organizations disseminate the information in the Joint Statement to their constituencies and networks, and reference it in their advocacy and education initiatives. The Joint Statement was cited by the Senators who spoke in support of passage of bill S-206.

Education and sport leaders are among the many sector leaders who have found the Joint Statement valuable. The education and sport sectors directly touch and influence almost all children, youth and parents in Canada. The Joint Statement has been studied, referenced, disseminated, and endorsed by national coaching and sport organizations, and by public and Catholic English and French school boards in eight provinces and one territory, by the Nunavut Department of Education, and by private schools. Backgrounders on the implications of physical punishment for the education, sport, mental health, and business sectors may be downloaded from the CHEO website (Backgrounders).

The Foundation of the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario has recently announced that it will provide stable funding for the essential costs of the national knowledge mobilization initiative for which the Joint Statement is the vehicle.

For information and to download the Joint Statement and related documents, please visit www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment. If you would like a printed copy of the Joint Statement, or have questions about the national knowledge mobilization initiative it supports, please email jointstatement@cheo.on.ca.
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Global Progress toward Protecting Children from Physical Punishment

Efforts to end physical punishment—the most common form of violence experienced by children—continue to gain momentum around the world. Activities at the international, regional, national, and community levels span human rights, law, research, education, and advocacy. Current and comprehensive information about many of these global activities may be found at Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children.

Forty nine countries have now banned physical punishment of children in all settings (homes, schools, alternative care). Since our last update, Nicaragua, Estonia, Andorra, Peru, Ireland, Benin, and Mongolia have taken this foundational step to protect their young citizens. Mongolia is the first country in Eastern and South Eastern Asia to achieve this law reform. Physical punishment is currently prohibited in schools in 128 countries, alternative care and day care in 56, penal institutions in 138, and as a sentence for crime in 162 countries. Though 25% of the world’s nations now prohibit physical punishment in all settings, these prohibitions protect only 10% of the world’s children. It is encouraging, however, that another 52 countries are publicly committed to prohibition. Until very recently, Canada was not one of these countries (see Canadian Law).

Nations that prohibit the use of physical punishment in all schools (128) outnumber those that still permit it (70). In some countries, Canada and the USA among them, physical punishment of students is prohibited in some jurisdictions but not others (see Canadian Law).

International human rights monitoring bodies have declared that physical punishment of children contravenes treaty rights. These bodies include the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (which monitors compliance with the Convention on the Rights of the Child by countries that have ratified it), the Committee Against Torture, the Human Rights Committee, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and the Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. Regional human rights monitoring bodies such as the European Committee of Social Rights, the African Committee of Experts on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, and the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights have declared that prohibition of physical punishment is an obligation of their regional rights instruments.

There is growing support around the world from faith communities for the elimination of physical punishment. Faith leaders are challenging law, punitive parenting practices, and interpretations of scripture claimed by some to condone or require harsh parental discipline of children.

Notions that the rights of some people compete with, or supersede, the rights of others are being challenged. Paulo Sérgio Pinheiro, the Independent Expert who led the UN Study on Violence against Children, has observed that “…the Study recognizes that all forms of violence are linked to entrenched gender roles and inequalities, and that the violation of the rights of children is closely linked to the status of women.”
Two ambitious global initiatives that target violence against the children of the world have been launched this past year. One of the many specific targets of the 17 major Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) adopted by the nations of the world is to, “End abuse, exploitation, trafficking and all forms of violence against and torture of children”. One of the indicators of progress toward this target will be annual tracking of “any physical punishment and violent disciplinary measures” experienced by children. The second, and related, initiative is the formation of a Global Partnership to End Violence Against Children to “support the efforts of those seeking to prevent violence, protect childhood, and help make societies safe for children.”

Research
There is a rapidly growing body of research on the damaging impact of toxic stress on the young child’s brain and subsequent development. The term “ACEs” (adverse childhood experiences) is now commonly used to refer to the kinds of early experiences that generate toxic stress and put children at risk for disrupted neurodevelopment and subsequent social, emotional, and cognitive impairment. Physical punishment is an ACE.

Research documenting the negative outcomes associated with physical punishment continues to grow at an accelerated pace. It continues to show, overwhelmingly, that physical punishment predicts a range of negative developmental outcomes. Of particular note are longitudinal studies that explore the relationship of physical punishment to children’s aggression and antisocial behaviour over time. These studies are important because they can tease apart the causal direction of the relationship. Evidence is rapidly building that physical punishment has a direct impact on children’s externalizing behavior. Some studies have also found that its effects accumulate over the years.

Positive Discipline
As growing numbers of governments study and prohibit physical punishment, parents are searching for new ways of thinking about guiding their children’s behaviour. The concept of “positive discipline” is rapidly gaining support around the world. It is an approach to discipline that respects a child’s human rights, guides rather than punishes, and is in keeping with a child’s stage of development and capacities.

One of these programs is Positive Discipline in Everyday Parenting (PDEP). It has been developed by Dr. Joan Durrant and Save the Children Sweden, and is being delivered in more than 30 countries, including Canada, Australia, Bosnia, Gaza, India, Iraq, Kenya, Liberia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, and Indonesia. Programs are offered by trained Program Facilitators in several cities in Canada. For more information, visit the PDEP website (www.positivedisciplineeveryday.com). Positive Discipline in Everyday Parenting and an article presenting evidence of its success can be downloaded for free from the website of the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO, link below).

Canadian Law
In December 2015, Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette tabled a private member’s bill (S-206) to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code of Canada. This old British law provides a legal defence for a parent or person acting in the place of a parent who is charged with assault in using force in the correction of a child’s behaviour. The bill is currently at second reading, and it is not yet known whether it will be referred to a Standing Senate Committee for detailed study. This is Sen. Hervieux-Payette’s eighth bill to repeal section 43. All of her previous bills died at various stages of reading due to election calls and prorogations of Parliament. Bill S-206 is the 17th private member’s bill to repeal or amend section 43 tabled in Parliament—eight in the House of Commons and nine in the Senate—since 1994. The governments of the day—Liberal and Conservative—opposed the first 16 bills.
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The prospect of repeal of section 43 occurring by passage of Bill S-206 in the Senate and then, by Parliamentary protocol, in the House of Commons is quite uncertain. There is, however, reason to be optimistic that repeal may be achieved by another route. Repeal of s. 43 is one of the calls to action (#6) by the Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada in its report *Calls to Action*, and the new Government of Canada has committed to implement all of the TRC’s calls to action.

The education acts of every territory and all but two provinces (Alberta, Manitoba) legally prohibit physical punishment of students in their publically funded schools. No provincial/territorial child welfare legislation (yet) addresses physical punishment either as a duty to report or as a risk to children.

Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

To date, more than 550 organizations representing most sectors of the Canadian community, and a number of distinguished Canadians, have endorsed the *Joint Statement* ([www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment](http://www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment)). Many organizations disseminate its information to their constituencies and networks and reference it in their education and advocacy.

Education and sport leaders are among the many sector leaders who have found the *Joint Statement* valuable. The education and sport/recreation sectors directly touch and influence almost all children, youth and parents in Canada. The *Joint Statement* has been studied, cited, disseminated, and endorsed by national coaching and sport organizations, and by public and Catholic English and French school boards in eight provinces and one territory, by the Nunavut Department of Education, and by private schools. Backgrounders on the implications of physical punishment for the education, sport, mental health, and business sectors may be downloaded from the CHEO website ([Backgrounders](http://www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment)).

For information and to download the *Joint Statement* and related documents, please visit [www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment](http://www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment). If you would like a printed copy of the *Joint Statement*, or have questions about the national knowledge mobilization initiative it supports, please email jointstatement@cheo.on.ca.

---
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Global Progress toward Protecting Children from Physical Punishment

Efforts to end physical punishment of children continue to gain momentum around the world. Activities at the international, regional, national, and community levels span legal, research, education, human rights, and advocacy. Current and comprehensive information about many of these activities may be found at Global Initiative to End Corporal Punishment of Children.

Forty two countries have now banned physical punishment of children in all settings (homes, schools, alternative care). Since our last update, South Sudan, Honduras, TFYR Macedonia, Malta, Brazil, Boliví a, Cabo Verde, Argentina, and San Marino have taken this major step to protect their young citizens. Twenty three countries do not prohibit physical punishment in any setting. Though 20% of the world’s nations now prohibit physical punishment in all settings, their prohibitions protect less than 10% of the world’s children. It is encouraging, however, that another 45 countries are publically committed to prohibition. Canada is, regrettably, not one of them.

Nations that prohibit the use of physical punishment in all schools (121) outnum ber those that still permit it (77). In some countries, Canada and the USA for example, physical punishment of students is prohibited in some jurisdictions but not others (see Canadian Law).

International human rights monitoring bodies have declared that physical punishment of children contravenes treaty rights. These bodies include the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (which monitors compliance with the Convention on the Rights of the Child by countries that have ratified the Convention), the Committee Against Torture, the Human Rights Committee, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and the Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. Regional human rights monitoring bodies such as the European Committee of Social Rights, the African Committee of Experts on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, and the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights have declared that prohibition of physical punishment is an obligation of their regional rights instruments.

There is growing support around the world from faith communities for the elimination of physical punishment. Faith leaders are challenging law, punitive parenting practices, and interpretations of scripture claimed to condone or require harsh parental discipline of children.

Notions that the rights of some people compete with, or supersede, the rights of others are being challenged. Paulo Sérgio Pinheiro, the Independent Expert who led the UN Study on Violence against Children, has observed that, “… the Study recognizes that all forms of violence are linked to entrenched gender roles and inequalities, and that the violation of the rights of children is closely linked to the status of women.”

Research

Since publication of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth in 2004, research on physical punishment has accelerated, reinforcing and extending the earlier evidence that physical punishment is the most common form of violence against children and is linked to
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broad and enduring personal and societal harm (Physical Punishment of Children: Lessons from 20 Years of Research). There is a rapidly growing body of research on the damaging impact of toxic stress on the young child’s brain and subsequent development. The term “ACEs” (adverse childhood experiences) is increasingly used in identifying the kinds of experiences that generate toxic stress and put children at risk for disrupted neurodevelopment and subsequent social, emotional, and cognitive impairment. Physical punishment is an ACE.

UNICEF has recently released two landmark reports on violence against children. Hidden in Plain Sight is the largest ever compilation of global statistics on violence against children. The data are drawn from 190 countries including Canada. The report identifies physical punishment as the most common form of violence against children. The companion UNICEF report, Ending Violence Against Children: Six Strategies for Action, offers strategies to address the violence. Four of the strategies are objectives and accomplishments of the Joint Statement.

Positive Discipline
The concept of positive discipline is rapidly gaining support around the world. As growing numbers of governments study and prohibit physical punishment, parents are searching for a new way of thinking about their role. A sign of this change is the rapid growth of Positive Discipline in Everyday Parenting (PDEP), a program developed by Joan Durrant and Save the Children Sweden. It is now being delivered in more than 30 countries, including Australia, Bosnia, Gaza, India, Iraq, Kenya, Liberia, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, and Sweden. Programs are offered by trained Program Facilitators in several cities in Canada. For more information, visit the PDEP website (www.positivedisciplineeveryday.com). Positive Discipline in Everyday Parenting and an article presenting evidence of its success can be downloaded for free from the website of the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO, link below).

Canadian Law
In October 2013, Senator Celine Hervieux-Payette tabled a private member’s bill (S-206) to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code of Canada. This old British law provides a legal defence for a parent or person acting in the place of a parent who is charged with assault in using force for the correction of a child’s behaviour. The bill passed second reading in May and was referred to the Standing Senate Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs for detailed review. This is Sen. Hervieux-Payette’s seventh bill to repeal section 43. The bill seeks simple repeal of s. 43 with a one-year delay before coming into force to provide for a period of public education. All of Sen. Hervieux-Payette’s previous bills died at various stages of reading due to election calls and prorogations of Parliament. Bill S-206 is the 16th private member’s bill to repeal or amend section 43 tabled in Parliament—eight in the House of Commons and eight in the Senate—since 1994. The governments of the day—Liberal and Conservative—have opposed every bill.

Every territory and all but two provinces (Alberta and Manitoba) prohibit physical punishment of students in their publically funded schools. No provincial/territorial child welfare legislation addresses physical punishment either as a duty to report or as a risk to children.

Joint Statement
More than 500 organizations representing most sectors of the Canadian community, and a number of distinguished Canadians, have endorsed the Joint Statement to date. Many organizations disseminate its information to their constituencies and networks and reference it in their education and advocacy.

Education and sport leaders are finding the Joint Statement valuable. The education and sport/recreation sectors directly touch and influence almost all children and parents in Canada. The Joint Statement has been studied, cited, disseminated, and endorsed by national coaching and
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sport organizations, and by public and Catholic English and French school boards in eight provinces and one territory, by the Nunavut Department of Education, and by private schools.

A backgrounder on the implications of physical punishment for mental health was recently added to those on education, sport, and business. The Backgrounders may be downloaded from the CHEO website.

We want to take this opportunity to say a huge “thank you and well done!” to Dennise Albrecht as she retires from CHEO. In 2001, Dennise brought together representatives of the six national organizations that formed a partnership with CHEO to bring to fruition the vision of a national knowledge mobilization initiative on physical punishment of children. The Joint Statement became the vehicle for the initiative. From that day to the present, Dennise has been a steadfast and wise steward as the Joint Statement informed and shaped the long-standing debate about the issues surrounding physical punishment of children in Canada that, for too long, generated more heat than light.

For information and to download the Joint Statement and related documents, please visit www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment. If you would like a printed copy of the Joint Statement, or have questions about the national initiative it supports, email jointstatement@cheo.on.ca, fax 613-738-4866, or call 613-737-7600 #4106.
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Global Progress toward Protecting Children from Physical Punishment

The international response to physical punishment of children continues to gain momentum at regional, national, and community levels. Activities span legal, research, education, human rights, and advocacy initiatives. A comprehensive and reliable source of information about these international activities is the Global Initiative to End Corporal Punishment of Children.

To date, 33 countries have banned physical punishment of children in all settings including homes, schools, and alternative care. Since our last update, Albania, Republic of Congo, and Luxembourg have taken this major step to protect their young citizens. Though 17% of the world’s nations prohibit physical punishment of their children in all settings, their prohibitions protect only 5% of the world’s children. It is encouraging, however, that governments in another 18 countries are publically committed to prohibition in all settings. Canada is not one of them.

In 40 countries, physical punishment of children is now prohibited in all forms of care. Nations that prohibit its use in all schools (117) now outnumber those that still permit it (81). In some countries, Canada and the USA for example, physical punishment of students is prohibited in some jurisdictions but not others (see Canadian Law).

International human rights monitoring bodies have stated that physical punishment of children contravenes treaty rights. The UN Committee on the Rights of the Child, which monitors observance of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, has made 336 compliance recommendations to 193 states that have ratified the Convention. The Committee Against Torture, the Human Rights Committee, the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, and the Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, have expressed concern about physical punishment of children. Regional human rights monitoring bodies such as the European Committee of Social Rights, the African Committee of Experts on the Rights and Welfare of the Child, and the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights have declared that prohibition of physical punishment is an obligation of their regional rights instruments.

There is growing support around the world from faith communities for the elimination of physical punishment. Faith leaders are challenging law, traditional parenting practices, and interpretations of scripture as condoning or requiring harsh discipline of children.

Notions that the rights of some people compete with, or supersede, the rights of others are being challenged. Paulo Sérgio Pinheiro, the Independent Expert who led the UN Study on Violence against Children, has observed that, "... the Study recognizes that all forms of violence are linked to entrenched gender roles and inequalities, and that the violation of the rights of children is closely linked to the status of women."

Research

Ongoing research since publication of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth in 2004 consistently reinforces and extends the findings of the studies it summarized. Earlier research linking physical punishment to poorer mental health has been strengthened by a
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major study of a nationally representative US sample. Afifi et al (2012) found harsh physical punishment (defined as being pushed/grabbed/shoved/slapped/hit) by parents in the absence of child maltreatment is associated with increased mood and anxiety disorders, alcohol and drug abuse/dependence, and several personality disorders after controlling for sociodemographic variables and family history of dysfunction. The authors conclude, “From a public health perspective, reducing physical punishment may help to decrease the prevalence of mental disorders in the general population. Policies need to be focused on strategies to reduce physical punishment, which points to the importance of positive parenting approaches.”

Positive Discipline
The concept of positive discipline is rapidly gaining support around the world. As growing numbers of governments debate and prohibit physical punishment, parents are searching for a new way of thinking about their role. A sign of this change is the rapid growth of the Positive Discipline program developed by Joan Durrant for Save the Children. It is now being delivered in more than 20 countries, including Australia, Bosnia, Gaza, India, Kenya, Liberia, Papua New Guinea, Solomon Islands, and Sweden. Hundreds of Program Facilitators have been trained to deliver it through agencies in Canada and demand continues to increase. The parent book can be downloaded for free from the CHEO website; hardcopies can be purchased at cost, royalty-free, from the Canadian Association of Family Resource Programs.

Canadian Law
In November 2012, Senator Celine Hervieux-Payette tabled a private member’s bill, S-214, to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code of Canada. This old British law provides a legal defence for a parent or person acting in the place of a parent who is charged with assault in using force for the correction of a child’s behaviour. If the bill passes second reading, it will be referred to a Standing Senate Committee for detailed review. This is Sen. Hervieux-Payette’s sixth bill to repeal or amend section 43. Like four of her previous bills, this bill seeks simple repeal of s. 43 with a one-year delay before coming into force to provide for a period of public education. All of her previous bills died at various stages of reading due to election calls and prorogations of Parliament. Bill S-214 is the 15th private member’s bill to repeal or amend section 43 tabled in Parliament—eight in the House of Commons and seven in the Senate—since 1994. The governments of the day—Liberal and Conservative—have opposed every bill (Repeal 43 Committee).

Every territory and all but two provinces (Alberta and Manitoba) prohibit physical punishment of students in their publicly funded schools. No provincial/territorial child welfare legislation addresses physical punishment either as a duty to report or as a risk to children.

The family, friends and colleagues of Corinne Robertshaw were saddened by her death last month. Corinne, a retired federal government lawyer, was the founder and head of the Repeal 43 Committee. She was a tireless advocate for the repeal of section 43, and a fund of knowledge related to the law and children. In November 2012, Corinne received the Canadian Institute of Child Health Health Promotion & Innovation Award of Excellence for her dedication to the protection of children from assault.

Joint Statement
More than 470 organizations representing most sectors of the Canadian community, as well as a number of distinguished Canadians, have endorsed the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth to date. Many organizations disseminate its information to their constituencies and networks and reference it in their education and advocacy.

Education and sport leaders are finding the Joint Statement valuable. The education and sport/recreation sectors directly touch and influence almost all children and most parents in Canada.
The Joint Statement has been studied, cited, disseminated, and endorsed by national coaching and sport organizations (sport I, sport II) and by public and Catholic English and French school boards in eight provinces and one territory, by the Nunavut Department of Education, and by a growing number of private schools (education backgrounder).

For information and to download the Joint Statement and related documents, please visit www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment. If you would like a printed copy of the Joint Statement, or have questions about the national initiative it supports, email jointstatement@cheo.on.ca, fax 613-738-4866, or call 613-737-2393 #4106.
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To: Endorsers of the *Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth*

From: Pamela Mountenay Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: July 26, 2011

Re: Updates on Canadian and international developments

Memo content provided by Ron Ensom and Joan Durrant

---

**Global Progress toward Protecting Children from Physical Punishment**

The international response to physical punishment of children continues to gain momentum at regional, national, and community levels. Activities span legal, research, education, human rights, and advocacy initiatives. The most comprehensive source of information about international activities is the [Global Initiative to End Corporal Punishment of Children](https://www.endcorporalpunishment.org).

The first international conference on physical punishment of children was held in June 2011 in Dallas, Texas. The [Global Summit on Ending Corporal Punishment and Promoting Positive Discipline](https://www.globalsummit.org) brought together 130 people—activists, researchers, educators, lawyers, policy makers, youth leaders—from 22 countries. Presentations, discussions, the conference resolution, and plans are posted on the Global Summit website. Progress on plans will be reported on the website. The Canadian *[Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth](https://www.childrenshospital.ca)* was seen as a model national initiative. Planning is under way for a second Global Summit in two years, possibly in Africa or India. This first Global Summit will likely be regarded, one day, as a milestone in global progress toward ending physical punishment of children.

The Special Representative of the Secretary General on Violence against Children, Marta Santos Pais, has submitted her [second annual report](https://www.un.org/children/special-representatives) to the UN Human Rights Council. It highlights the recent prohibition of physical punishment of children in all settings in three more countries and high level regional commitments to pursue law reform. It calls for increased efforts to protect children from all forms of violence in law and, in countries which have achieved law reform, further efforts to narrow the gap between law and practice. The [Special Representative’s website](https://www.un.org/children/special-representatives) has just been launched.

Thirty countries have now banned physical punishment of children in all settings including homes and schools. Since our last Memo, Liechtenstein, Poland, Tunisia, Kenya, and South Sudan have taken this major step to protect their young citizens. Nations that prohibit physical punishment of children in schools (108) outnumber those that still permit it (89). With India’s recent prohibition, more than 60% of the world’s children are now protected in law from physical punishment in their schools.
Global Pathways to Abolishing Physical Punishment: Realizing Children’s Rights (Joan Durrant & Anne Smith) was recently published. It describes the international movement to redefine physical punishment as violence and a violation of children’s rights. It will serve as a sourcebook for scholars and advocates around the world who are interested in the many dimensions of physical punishment and its elimination.

A Global Information Network on Physical Punishment has been launched. It facilitates the sharing of research articles, news items, interviews, and related information about physical punishment of children and its elimination. If you would like to join this information sharing network, send an email to GINPP.

Repeal of Section 43 of Canada’s Criminal Code
In March 2010, Sen. Hervieux-Payette tabled another private member’s bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code. The bill, S-204, died at second reading when the recent federal election was called. It was the senator’s fifth bill to repeal or amend s. 43. Her first three bills, S-21 (2004), S-207 (2006), S-209 (2007), and this most recent bill were identical at tabling and sought simple repeal of s. 43 with a one-year delay before coming into force to permit public education. Her fourth bill, also named S-209 (2009), was tabled with the same amended wording given her previous Bill S-209 (2007) at committee review. None of these bills reached the House of Commons. All five died at various stages of reading in the Senate due to election calls and prorogations of Parliament. Bill S-204 is the 14th private member’s bill to repeal or amend s. 43 since 1994. Eight were tabled in the House of Commons and six in the Senate. The governments of the day—Liberal and Conservative—have opposed every bill (Repeal 43 Committee).

Canadian Coalition for the Rights of Children Report
This November, the Canadian Coalition for the Rights of Children (CCRC) will present a report to the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child in preparation for the Committee's next official review, in June 2012, of Canada’s implementation of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. Canada submitted its last report (canadas-third-and-fourth-report-on-crc) in 2009. A major focus of the CCRC report is violence against children in the family, schools, community, and sport (working-document-free-from-violence-summary). It recommends that Canada, “Adopt federal legislation to prohibit all forms of violence against children, including repeal of section 43 of the Criminal Code, amend provincial laws to prohibit the use of force for correction in all settings, and invest in helping parents and other authorities use more effective forms of discipline, based on evidence-based research.” All three territories and eight provinces prohibit the use of physical punishment in their schools. Canada will have met a key obligation of the Convention if/when Alberta and Manitoba pass legislation to prohibit physical punishment in their schools.

Research
The Coalition continues to monitor research on physical punishment. Peer reviewed studies published since publication of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth in 2004 consistently reinforce and extend the findings of the research it summarized. Research published on physical punishment since publication of the Joint Statement will be reviewed in its forthcoming second edition. The following summaries of a few studies reflect some of the important research published since our last Memo.
Intergenerational cycle of violence (Simons & Wurtele, 2010): Children frequently spanked by parents who experienced similar spanking during childhood and perceive its use as acceptable, in turn, advocate spanking as a disciplinary method and prefer aggressive conflict resolution strategies with siblings and peers. The authors call this a generational “side effect” of spanking. They advise practitioners to encourage parents to avoid using physical punishment, and note that this could lead to a change in the attitudes and behaviours of the next generation of parents.

Physical punishment of children and intimate partner violence (Taylor et al, 2010): The most prevalent patterns studied of co-occurrence of intimate partner aggression or violence (IPAV) and physical punishment involved both parents as aggressors toward each other (bilateral IPAV) or toward their young child. The presence of bilateral IPAV essentially doubled the odds that one or both parents would use physical punishment. The authors note that despite the American Academy of Pediatrics’ recommendations against the use of physical punishment, its use remains common in the USA. They advise prevention efforts to take account of patterns of co-occurring aggression in families, given that adult victims of IPAV—including even minor aggression between parents—have increased odds of using physical punishment with their children.

Parents’ norms, expectations and attitudes toward physical punishment (Taylor et al, 2011): Having a positive attitude toward the use of physical punishment is a strong predictor of its use and thus an important target for its reduction. This attitude was examined using the “theory of planned behavior,” which suggests that parents’ perception of social norms and expectations regarding physical punishment shapes their attitudes and behaviour. A survey of parents revealed that the strongest predictors of positive attitudes toward use of physical punishment were, in order of power, their perceptions of: (1) its approval by professionals, (2) the norms of its use, (3) its approval by family and friends, and (4) the positive and negative expected outcomes of its use. The authors discuss the need for targeted efforts to shift the messaging of professionals who influence parents’ use of physical punishment; and for universal efforts, such as public education, to educate parents and the general public about the high risk/benefit ratio for using physical punishment and the effectiveness of non-physical forms of child discipline.

International studies of child disciplinary practices in the home: A 2010 UNICEF study examined child disciplinary practices in a range of 33 low- and middle-income countries comprising about 10% of the total population of children in developing countries. Non-violent practices were the most common form of discipline used with over 90% of children. However, violent disciplinary practices were also extremely common. On average, three in four children between ages 2 and 14 were subjected to some kind of violent discipline. While almost three in four experienced psychological aggression, about half were subjected to physical punishment, the most severe forms of which (hitting the head, ears, face, or hitting hard and repeatedly) were less common and felt by about 17% of children. For the most part, households employed a combination of violent and non-violent disciplinary practices, reflecting caregivers’ motivation to control children’s behaviour by any means. Households used only non-violent disciplinary methods with about 20% of children, but the prevalence of purely non-violent discipline ranged from a low of 4% (Cameroon, Yemen) to a high of 57% (Bosnia, Herzegovina). Physical punishment was not considered necessary by most caregivers. Less than one quarter of mothers/primary caregivers believed physical punishment was a necessary part of raising children in half of the countries surveyed. In only two countries did a majority consider physical punishment necessary. In about half, there was no difference in the prevalence of violent discipline between boys and girls. The analysis suggests that promoting broad changes in
attitudes and norms regarding the need for physical punishment in child rearing can help reduce levels of violent discipline. Given that a majority of mothers and primary caregivers in most countries already reject physical punishment in theory, if not in practice, a comprehensive strategy is needed to prevent violence against children. Such a strategy would include two key actions: (1) ensuring legal prohibition of all forms of violence against children in all settings, including within the home, and provision of support for effective enforcement measures; and (2) development of national strategies to address violence against children in all its forms, supported by quality services for the protection, recovery, and reintegration of children, and by child-sensitive counselling and reporting and complaint mechanisms.

Another international study (Runyan et al, 2010) surveyed variations in harsh child discipline by parents in 19 communities in Brazil, Chile, Egypt, India, Philippines, and the United States. Physical and verbal punishments of children were found to be common in these representative high-, middle-, and low-income communities around the world. The forms and rates of punishment varied among countries and communities within countries. Nearly all parents used non-violent discipline and verbal or psychological punishment. Physical punishment was also used in at least 55% of the families. There was a wide range across communities in rates of spanking by hand and use of objects. Extremely harsh methods of physical punishment, such as burning or smothering, were rare in all countries. Twenty percent of parents in nine communities admitted shaking children younger than two years of age.

In tracking the continuing stream of research on physical punishment, one is struck not only by the consistency of the scope and nature of its personal and societal harm but by the consistency and strength of calls to action by researchers. In journals where caution has been historically exercised about the general applicability of specific findings and need for action, there is now a consistent and clarion call to action. The studies summarized above are typical of this evolution.

**Canadian Joint Statement Update**

More than 400 organizations representing most sectors of the Canadian community have endorsed the *Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth* to date. Many organizations disseminate its information to their constituencies and networks and reference it in their education and advocacy.

Education and sport leaders are finding the *Joint Statement* valuable. The education and sport/recreation sectors directly touch and influence almost all of the children and most parents in Canada. The *Joint Statement* has been studied, cited, disseminated, and endorsed by national coaching and sport organizations; and by public and Catholic and English and French school boards in eight provinces and one territory, the Nunavut Department of Education, and private schools. We hope to more fully engage these sectors, and would appreciate your bringing the *Joint Statement* to the attention of any sport/recreation organizations, school boards, and private schools you know.

For information and to download the *Joint Statement* and related documents, see [www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment](http://www.cheo.on.ca/en/physicalpunishment). If you would like a printed copy of the *Joint Statement*, or have questions about the national initiative it supports, email [jointstatement@cheo.on.ca](mailto:jointstatement@cheo.on.ca), or fax 613-738-4866, or call 613-737-2393 #4106.
Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

To: Endorsers of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

From: Pamela Mountenay Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
        Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: December 14, 2009

Re: Updates on Canadian and international developments

Global Progress toward Protecting Children from Physical Punishment

There is encouraging progress toward protecting children from physical punishment around the world. Twenty-five countries have now banned physical punishment in all settings including homes and schools. The Republic of Moldova and Luxembourg are the most recent nations to do so. An ever growing number of countries in most regions of the world are grappling with the implications of leaving children legally unprotected against physical punishment.

Physical punishment of children in schools is now banned in 108 nations—more than half the countries of the world (End Corporal Punishment). With India’s recent prohibition, over 60% of the world’s children are now protected in law from physical punishment in their schools.

The United Nations has acted on a key recommendation of the UN Study on Violence against Children (UN Violence Study), established the post of Special Representative to the Secretary General on Violence against Children, and appointed Maria Santos Pais to the position for a three-year term. Ms Santos Pais is an internationally renowned human rights expert and former director of UNICEF’s Innocenti Research Centre. This high-profile global advocate will promote prevention and elimination of all violence against children (CRINMAIL).

The Council of Europe has a multi-pillar initiative to educate the public about the right of children to be free of corporal punishment and to persuade parliamentarians to adopt the legal and support measures needed to achieve effective prohibition of all corporal punishment of children in its 47 member states (Council of Europe).

The Inter-American Court of Human Rights has confirmed the human rights obligations of member states of the Organization of American States to prohibit and eliminate all corporal punishment of children (CRINMAIL).

At a meeting in Canada, the World Congress on Family Law and Children's Rights called “… upon all nations to undertake initiatives, including legislative action, to promote positive parenting skills and move toward the elimination of corporal punishment of children by parents and institutions.”

Raffi Cavoukian, whose songs were part of life for a generation of Canadian children and their parents, announced to loud applause at a national conference on children and youth that he plans
to work with leaders of the world’s major faiths to develop a declaration about physical punishment. His Covenant for Honouring Children (Child Honouring) calls for a commitment to conscious parenting and nonviolence, including an end to corporal punishment.

**UN Convention on the Rights of the Child 20th Anniversary**
The UN Convention on the Rights of the Child (Convention) is a promise by signatory nations to assure the well-being of their children by guaranteeing their fundamental rights. Canada is a signatory, and joined the world last month in marking the Convention’s 20th anniversary. Global progress on the well-being of children envisioned by the Convention was celebrated and the substantial progress yet to be achieved was acknowledged. Not There Yet: Canada’s implementation of the general measures of the Convention on the Rights of the Child (Not There Yet) reviews Canada’s progress and unfulfilled obligations. The report notes that, “One reform that has consistently failed is the repeal of section 43 of the Criminal Code ...” (p. 5).

**Repeal of Section 43 of Canada’s Criminal Code**
A private members bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code was tabled in the Senate in January 2009. It is the fourth repeal bill sponsored by Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette since 2004. Her first three bills (S-21, S-207, S-209) were identical at tabling and sought simple repeal of s. 43 with a one-year delay before coming into force to permit public education. Bill S-209 was changed at committee review to amend, not repeal, s. 43. The fourth and current bill, “amended” S-209 (Bill S-209), was tabled by Sen. Hervieux-Payette with the same wording given its predecessor bill at review by the Senate Committee. Amended S-209 attempts to define the circumstances in which parents and teachers may use force with a child. Some advocates of repeal have voiced concerns that neither amended Bill S-209, nor any amendment of s. 43, will provide children with the same protection against assault provided Canadian adults (OPHA EBulletin). The first three bills died at various stages of reading in the Senate due to prorogations of Parliament. Amended S-209 is awaiting committee review. It is the 11th private members bill to repeal/amend s. 43 since 1994. Six have been tabled in the House of Commons and five in the Senate. The government of the day has opposed every bill.

On National Child Day, November 20th, the federal government released Convention on the Rights of the Child: Third and Fourth Reports of Canada (Third and Fourth Reports), which reports on Canada’s progress in fulfilling its obligations as a signatory of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child from 1998 to 2007. Periodic reports are made by signatory countries to the UN Committee on the Rights of the Child (CRC) which monitors states’ implementation of the Convention’s principles. In 1995, following Canada’s first report, the CRC urged the prohibition of physical punishment of children in families and public education regarding its use. In 2003, following Canada’s second report, the CRC expressed deep concern that legislation had not been enacted prohibiting all forms of corporal punishment and that s. 43 had not been removed from the Criminal Code. When the CRC responds to the current Report, it will almost certainly comment on the assertion (p. 14) that the Supreme Court of Canada’s decision upholding the constitutionality of s. 43 of the Criminal Code is consistent with Canada’s obligations under the Convention and, by implication, therefore assures children’s protection from this form of violence.

Every National Child Day from 2003 to 2008, an Open Letter addressed variously to the Prime Minister, Members of Parliament, and Senators urging repeal of s. 43 has been published in one
or two major Canadian newspapers. The letter was sent this year by email directly to MPs and Senators (Repeal 43 Committee).

**Physical Punishment in Canada’s Schools**
The province of Ontario recently prohibited physical punishment of students in its publicly funded schools by amending its *Education Act*. This brings to 11 the number of provinces and territories that ban its use by school personnel. Only Alberta and Manitoba have yet to prohibit its use in their schools.

**Research**
The Coalition continues to monitor research on physical punishment. All of the studies we have reviewed that have been published since the release in 2004 of the *Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth* either reinforce or extend the findings of the research summarized in the *Joint Statement*. Some notable recent research has examined the impact of physical punishment on children’s cognitive development and school achievement, and found that its use is linked to slower cognitive development in pre-school and elementary school children and adversely affects academic achievement of school age children. The implications of physical punishment for children and schools related to bullying and to intellectual development are summarized in the education backgrounder on the *Joint Statement* page of the website of the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (Joint Statement). Research published on physical punishment since publication of the *Joint Statement* will be reviewed in its second edition.

**Joint Statement Update**
There have been a quarter million downloads of information from the *Joint Statement* page of the CHEO website. A number of distinguished Canadians and more than 350 organizations representing most sectors of the Canadian community have now endorsed the document. Many organizations also disseminate its information to their constituencies and networks.

The education sector impacts every child in Canada. The sport and recreation sector probably touches the second greatest number of children and youth. The *Joint Statement* has been endorsed by English and French, public and Catholic, school boards and a department of education in eight provinces and two territories to date, and by two national coaching associations. An invited article on physical punishment of children in sport and recreation written for a national coaching publication is posted on the CHEO website. A number of documents may be downloaded from the CHEO website, including *Positive Discipline: What it is and how to do it* and one-page backgrounder for the education community, sports and recreation organizations, and business.

The *Report on Physical Punishment in the United States: What Research Tells Us About Its Effects on Children*, which was inspired by and modeled after the *Joint Statement*, and has been gathering expert support in the USA, is posted on the website of the Phoenix Children’s Hospital (Phoenix Children’s Hospital).

If you have questions or would like copies of the printed *Joint Statement* or other materials on our website, please send a request by email to jointstatement@cheo.on.ca, or fax to 613-738-4801, or leave a message at 613-737-2393 #4106.
Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

To: Endorsers of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

From: Pamela Mountenay Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: May 1, 2008

Re: Updates on Canadian and international developments

______________________________________________________________________________

Bill S-209
This private member’s bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code is the third repeal bill sponsored by Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette. Her first, Bill S-21, died on the order paper in November 2005 before the federal election. Her second, Bill S-207, reached 2nd reading and was referred to the Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights. The committee held extensive hearings, unanimously supported the intent of the bill, and referred it back to the Senate without amendment or observations. The bill died when Parliament was prorogued in September 2007. Sen. Payette introduced Bill S-209 at the opening of the following session of Parliament in October. It is currently at 2nd reading before the Standing Senate Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs. All three bills have sought straightforward repeal of section 43 with a one-year delay, before coming into force, to permit public education. Bill S-209 is the tenth private members bill to repeal section 43 since 1994. Six have been tabled in the House of Commons and four in the Senate. The government of the day has opposed every bill.

Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights Report on Canada’s Implementation of the Convention on the Rights of the Child
The Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights report on Canada’s implementation of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child was released one year ago. Children: The Silenced Citizens (www.parl.gc.ca/39/1/parlbus/commbus/senate/Com-e/huma-e/rep-e/rep10apr07-e.pdf), recommends “the federal government take steps towards the elimination of corporal punishment in Canada” that include “the immediate launch of an extensive public and parental education campaign with respect to the negative effects of corporal punishment”, “repeal of section 43 of the Criminal Code by April 2009", and “[implementation of] a national strategy to combat bullying”. No mention was made of section 43 in the federal government’s response to the report. The Human Rights Committee plans to follow up its recommendations with the appropriate federal ministers.

More Nations Than Ever Banned Physical Punishment in 2007
Twenty-three nations have now banned physical punishment of children in all settings including the home. Seven prohibited it last year, more than in any previous year. The most recent was Chile, the first country in the Americas to do so. The UN Study on Violence against Children sets a target date of 2009 for universal prohibition. Physical punishment of children in schools has now
been banned by 103 nations, more than half the countries of the world. Ten of 13 Canadian provinces and territories prohibit physical punishment in schools; only Alberta, Manitoba and Ontario have yet to do so. The Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children has recently released *Prohibiting corporal punishment of children: A guide to legal reform and other measures*. More information about international developments may be found on the Global Initiative website at http://www.endcorporalpunishment.org.

**New Zealand’s Ban on Physical Punishment**

Last May, New Zealand became the 19th country to prohibit all physical punishment of children by amending its equivalent statute to Canada’s section 43. The new law explicitly prohibits physical punishment and permits parental restraint of children for purposes of care or safety. Police were instructed to use discretion about prosecution when cases of minor assaults on children come to their notice. A review of police activity in the three months following the ban revealed no increase in the number of smacking (hitting) events police attended. Police have commented that claims that repeal of the legal defence for use of physical punishment would lead to prosecution of parents and removal of children from their homes for minor acts of physical discipline have proved unfounded. The review report can be read at http://www.police.govt.nz/resources/2007/section-59-activity-review.

**Follow-up to World Report on Violence against Children**

The landmark UN *World Report on Violence against Children* (www.violencestudy.org/r229) was presented to the General Assembly in November 2006. It described the appalling scope and nature of violence against children worldwide and its devastating impact on the children, their families, their communities, and broader society. The NGO Advisory Council for follow-up to the report issued a call for organizations around the world to support the appointment of a Special Representative to the UN Secretary-General on Violence against Children. Last November, one year after the release of the report, the UN acted on that recommendation. The only country voting against was the United States. The central mandate of the new Special Representative is to ensure broad support of implementation of the report’s recommendations.

**Positive Discipline**

*Positive Discipline: What it is and how to do it*, written by Dr. Joan Durrant (co-author of the *Joint Statement*) has been published by Save the Children Sweden. The manual is a response to the *World Report on Violence against Children*, which emphasizes prevention, parent support and capacity-building. This manual brings together knowledge of child health and development, findings of research on effective parenting, and child rights principles to guide parents in providing discipline that is neither permissive nor punitive. It sets out four principles that parents can use to guide their interactions with their children and help them develop self-discipline. The manual is for parents of children of all ages. The manual may be downloaded from the website of the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario.
Joint Statement Update
There have been more than 117,000 downloads of information related to the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth from the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario website. A fourth printing was required last August to meet requests for hard copies. More than 300 organizations and a number of distinguished Canadians have endorsed the Joint Statement. Endorsements span the Canadian community. Many endorsers disseminate its information and important message.

There has been increasing uptake of the Joint Statement by the education sector. It has been endorsed by education leaders and by school boards/divisions in five provinces (Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Ontario, Prince Edward Island, and Newfoundland and Labrador). In keeping with the target date of 2009 for action on the recommendations of the UN Study on Violence against Children, we are hopeful that every province and territory will soon be represented among school board endorsements. The Joint Statement is an effective vehicle for broadening education sector awareness of the link between physical punishment and bullying. Recent research, which will be summarized in the second edition of the Joint Statement, has also demonstrated that physical punishment is linked to slower cognitive development and adversely affects academic achievement of young children. A backgrounder for educators is available upon request.

The Joint Statement and related material, including Positive Discipline, may be downloaded from the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario’s website (www.cheo.on.ca/english/4220.shtml). If you have questions or would like copies of the printed Joint Statement or the education backgrounder, please send a request to Sylvia Graham at sygraham@cheo.on.ca, or leave a message at 613-737-2393 #2475.
Survey of Canadians’ Knowledge of Law Regarding Physical Punishment
The results of a survey of Canadians’ knowledge of the law regarding physical punishment of children, commissioned by Toronto Public Health (TPH), have been released. The findings show that a majority of Canadians were unaware of the Supreme Court’s 2004 decision on section 43 of the Criminal Code. Of those who were aware of the decision, less than 1 in 5 knew about the legal limitations the Court placed on parental physical punishment of children. Only a minority of Canadians believe that parents and teachers are allowed to physically punish children. The report recommends that s. 43 be repealed in order to support the positive parenting initiatives of hundreds of organizations, be consistent with the federal government’s own education messages, and uphold the principles of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. The report may be read at www.toronto.ca/health/pdf/summary_report_200703.pdf.

Bill S-207
This private member’s bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code is the second repeal bill sponsored by Sen. Céline Hervieux-Payette. Her first, Bill S-21, died on the Senate order paper in November 2005 before the federal election. Her second, Bill S-207, may be viewed at www.parl.gc.ca/39/1/parlbus/chambus/ senate/bills/public/pdf/s-207_1.pdf. It had passed 2nd reading and was tabled for 3rd reading, without amendment, by the Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights when Parliament was adjourned last month for the summer. The Senators on the Committee were unanimous in their support of the Bill’s intent. If Bill S-207 receives 3rd reading in the Senate (it will die on the order paper if Parliament is prorogued before 3rd reading), it is likely that it will be passed either as currently written or amended to explicitly exclude as offences parental/caregiver duties of care. If the Bill passes 3rd reading in the Senate it must be considered by the House of Commons. If the Bill reaches the House it appears that very determined lobbying of MPs will be required to enable its passage.

Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights Final Report on Canada’s Implementation of the Convention on the Rights of the Child
Following national consultations, the Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights released its report on Canada’s implementation of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child in April. Children: The Silenced Citizens – Effective Implementation of Canada’s International Obligations with Respect to the Rights of Children, may be read at
www.parl.gc.ca/39/1/parlbus/commbus/ senate/Com-e/huma-e/rep-e/rep10apr07-e.pdf. The Report recommends that: “the federal government take steps towards the elimination of corporal punishment in Canada”, and that these steps include “immediate launch of an extensive public and parental education campaign with respect to the negative effects of corporal punishment” and “repeal of section 43 of the Criminal Code by April 2009”. Having already recommended repeal of s. 43 in this major Report, it was not surprising that the Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights subsequently unanimously supported the intent of Bill S-207.

**New Zealand has Repealed its Equivalent to Canada’s Section 43**
In May, New Zealand became the 19th country to prohibit all corporal punishment of children. Section 59 of New Zealand’s Crimes Act, which was very similar to Canada’s s. 43, was fully repealed. Children and adults now have equal protection from physical assault. A new law was substituted which explicitly prohibits physical punishment and permits parental restraint of children for purposes of care or safety. Police were instructed to use discretion about prosecution when cases of minor assaults on children come to their notice. This new law was passed with a huge all-party majority in Parliament.

**UN Secretary-General’s Study on Violence Against Children**
This long-awaited landmark study was presented to the UN General Assembly in November 2006. It paints a comprehensive global picture of the extent, nature, causes and impacts of violence against children. It concludes that “No violence against children is justifiable; all violence against children is preventable.” It rejects adult justification of violence against children whether accepted as “tradition” or disguised as “discipline”. It “urge[s] States to prohibit all forms of violence against children in all settings, including corporal punishment”. The Study may be downloaded at www.violencestudy.org/IMG/pdf/English-2-2.pdf. An NGO Advisory Council is being formed to support effective follow-up on the Study. The Council’s purpose is to support NGO involvement at national, regional and international levels in follow-up advocacy with governments, UN agencies and others for full implementation of the Study's recommendations. For more information see www.crin.org/violence/search/closeup.asp?infoID=13320.

**Follow Up to UN Study on Violence Against Children**
The NGO Advisory Council for follow up to the UN Study on Violence against Children has issued a call for organizations around the world to sign on to a statement supporting the appointment of a Special Representative to the Secretary-General on Violence against Children. The Council’s goal is to have at least 1000 organizations representing all countries in the world endorse the statement by September 15, 2007. The statement will be presented to the UN General Assembly to demonstrate the strength of NGO support for a Special Representative. A briefing document describing the need for a Special Representative is available at www.crin.org/docs/SRSG_May2007.pdf. The call for action and list of signatories can be read at www.crin.org/violence/petitions/petition.asp?petID=1004.
**Joint Statement Update**

As of July 2, the *Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth* had been endorsed by 262 organizations and, by invitation, 19 distinguished Canadians. Endorsements span the Canadian community and increasingly include the education sector. We have received endorsements from the Saskatoon Public Schools Board, Ottawa-Carleton District School Board, Eastern School District (Newfoundland), Toronto District School Board, Ontario Public Supervisory Officials’ Association, and the Council of Ontario Directors of Education. There are school boards in most provinces currently reviewing the *Joint Statement*. The document is an excellent vehicle for broadening education sector awareness of the link between physical punishment and bullying. **Please bring the Joint Statement to the attention of educational groups and school boards in your community.**

The *Joint Statement* continues to inform discussion about physical punishment of children—in Canada and around the world. It was cited during testimony on Bill S-207 at the Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights. It is profiled on the website of the Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children (www.endcorporalpunishment.org/pages/frame.html). There have been over 105,000 downloads of *Joint Statement* information from the website (www.cheo.on.ca/english/4220.shtml) of the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario. Requests for the printed document have been so strong that it is likely there will be a 4th printing before the second edition of the *Joint Statement* is published in 2008.

If you have questions or would like copies of the printed *Joint Statement*, please send a note to Sylvia Graham at sygraham@cheo.on.ca, or leave a message at 613-737-2393 #2475.
Survey of Canadians’ Knowledge of Law Regarding Physical Punishment
The results of a survey of Canadians’ knowledge of law regarding physical punishment of children, commissioned by Toronto Public Health (TPH), will be released this month. This survey follows up the 2003 TPH public opinion poll on Canadians’ attitudes toward removing section 43 from the Criminal Code of Canada. The results of the first poll are posted on the TPH website http://www.toronto.ca/health/pdf/ssl_survey.pdf and summarized in the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth (p.12). The results of this follow-up poll will be announced by news release and posted on the TPH website.

Bill S-207
The private member’s bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code, sponsored by Sen. Céline Hervieux-Payette, is at 2nd reading in the Senate. Bill S-207 may be viewed at http://www.parl.gc.ca/39/1/parlbus/chambus/senate/bills/public/pdf/s-207_1.pdf. If it passes this reading it will be studied by a Standing Senate Committee, either Human Rights or Legal and Constitutional Affairs, which will comment on the merits of the Bill. If it passes 3rd reading it must then be considered by the House of Commons. Sen. Hervieux-Payette often references the Joint Statement when she addresses the issue of physical punishment of Canadian children. Her office can be reached at: 1-800-267-7362 or 613-947-8008; hervic@sen.parl.gc.ca.

Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights
The Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights has been holding consultations with professionals across the country on Canada’s implementation of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. In September, Dr. Joan Durrant, co-author of the Joint Statement made a presentation to the Committee. She stated that one of the pillars of the Convention—children’s right to protection—cannot be realized until it is affirmed in law and caregivers are provided with the support they need to achieve it.

Marvin Bernstein, Children’s Advocate for the Province of Saskatchewan, argued for the prohibition of physical punishment in all provincial/territorial education and child protection statutes, and for full protection for children under the criminal law. He stated that “it is time for Canada to step up to the plate or risk significant embarrassment on the international stage.”
Open Letter to the Government Regarding Physical Punishment

Annually since 2003, and again this year on National Child Day, Toronto Public Health will send an Open Letter to the Government of Canada calling for repeal of section 43 of the Criminal Code. The letter will contain information from the survey described above. The letter is signed by many organizations every year, and TPH is again inviting organizations to sign this year’s letter. For more information, contact Dia Mamatis at TPH (tel: 416-338-0913; fax: 416-338-0921; dmamati@toronto.ca). An invitation from TPH to endorsers of the Joint Statement to sign their 2006 letter is attached to this memo.

UN Secretary-General’s Study on Violence Against Children

This long-awaited landmark study was presented to the UN General Assembly earlier this month. It provides a comprehensive global picture of the extent, nature, causes and impacts of violence against children. It concludes that “No violence against children is justifiable; all violence against children is preventable.” It rejects adult justification of violence against children whether accepted as “tradition” or disguised as “discipline”. It “urge[s] States to prohibit all forms of violence against children in all settings, including corporal punishment”. The study may be downloaded at http://www.violencestudy.org/r25.

WHO-ISPCAN Guide to Preventing Child Maltreatment

Following the release of the United Nations Secretary-General’s Study on Violence Against Children, the World Health Organization and the International Society for Prevention of Child Abuse and Neglect published what will undoubtedly become a seminal resource, Preventing Child Maltreatment: a guide to taking action and generating evidence. The guide “aims to assist governments, NGOs and international agencies to undertake scientifically informed programmes to prevent child maltreatment.” It may be downloaded at http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/9241594365_eng.pdf.

New Zealand Considers Repealing its Equivalent to Canada’s s. 43

Many countries have laws similar to Canada’s section 43 that provide a legal defence for caregivers who assault children in the name of correction. A growing number of countries—15 to date—have repealed these laws. New Zealand will soon make a decision about repealing, retaining or revising its criminal defence. The Joint Statement has been referenced in the repeal debate in New Zealand.

Joint Statement Update

We have just learned that the Joint Statement initiative will receive international and regional honours. The Ted Freedman Award for Innovation in Education, Longwoods Publishing’s prestigious international award, recognizes individuals and organizations that inspire, advocate and enable education in healthcare. It will be presented to our Coalition at the Ontario Hospital Association’s HealthAchieve2006 conference. Our Coalition will also be recognized at Ottawa’s Every Child is Sacred Honouring and Celebration at its National Child Day ceremony.
The dissemination and endorsement of the Joint Statement by organizations in most sectors of the Canadian community has far surpassed our initial expectations. We would like to expand dissemination of the document among faith/religious groups, multicultural organizations and businesses. If you have ideas or contacts that might be helpful, please call or email Sylvia Graham (as below).

Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO) has redesigned its website. The Joint Statement has a new URL (http://www.cheo.on.ca/english/4220.shtml). Organizations that link or refer to the Joint Statement webpage on the CHEO website should verify that they link or point to this new URL.

If you have questions or would like copies of the printed Joint Statement, please send a note to Sylvia Graham at sygraham@cheo.on.ca, or leave a message at 613-737-2393 #2475.
Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

To: Endorsers of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

From: Pamela Mountenay Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: June 6, 2006

Re: Updates on Canadian and international developments

______________________________________________________________________________

Bill S-207
Bill S-21, the private member’s bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code sponsored by Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette, had passed second reading and was being studied by the Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs when Parliament adjourned for the federal election. In April, Sen. Payette tabled Bill S-207. It is identical to her first bill, and may be viewed at http://www.parl.gc.ca/39/1/parlbus/chambus/senate/bills/public/pdf/s-207_1.pdf. It has passed second reading and will be studied by the Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs. Sen. Payette references the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth when she speaks and writes about physical punishment of Canadian children, and she has again asked our Coalition to forward a communication (attached) on her behalf.

Survey of Canadians’ Knowledge of the Supreme Court Decision on Section 43
A survey of Canadians’ knowledge of the Supreme Court decision on section 43, commissioned by Toronto Public Health, was conducted last January. The results are expected shortly. This survey follows up the August 2003 TPH public opinion poll on Canadians’ attitudes toward removing section 43 from the Criminal Code. The results of the first poll are posted on the TPH website at http://www.toronto.ca/health/pdf/ssl_survey.pdf and summarized in the Joint Statement (p.12).

UN Committee on the Rights of the Child General Comment
The United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child has just released its awaited General Comment: The right of the child to protection from corporal punishment and other cruel or degrading forms of punishment. The report observes that “Addressing the widespread acceptance or tolerance of corporal punishment of children and eliminating it, in the family, schools and other settings, is not only an obligation of States parties under the Convention. It is also a key strategy for reducing and preventing all forms of violence in societies.” The report may be viewed at http://www.ohchr.org/english/bodies/crc/docs/co/CRC.C.GC.8.pdf.

Joint Statement Update
1. Address on physical punishment to the BC Legislature: – Shane Simpson, MLA for Vancouver-Hastings, spoke in the BC Legislature last month about the physical punishment of children. He referred extensively to the Joint Statement and encouraged action at the federal

2. **Physical punishment article in press:** – Infant Mental Health Promotion (IMP) of the Hospital For Sick Children invited Joan Durrant and Ron Ensom to write an article on physical punishment for its publication **IMPrint**. The article, *Physical Punishment and Children’s Health*, will be published soon and posted on the Joint Statement webpage on the CHEO website.

3. **International Influence of the Joint Statement:** – The impact of the Joint Statement is being felt well beyond Canada. An initiative inspired by and modelled after it has been launched by EPOCH-USA (End Physical Punishment of Children). The American document – which will have a similar name, content and purpose – is currently being developed. Our Canadian statement has been translated for circulation in Portugal. The Joint Statement has been referenced in New Zealand and the United Kingdom, where there are efforts similar to ours to repeal those nations’ counterpart laws to our section 43.

4. **Dissemination and endorsement in key sectors:** – The dissemination and endorsement of the Joint Statement by organizations in most sectors of the Canadian community has far surpassed our initial expectations. We would, however, like to increase the transfer of knowledge about physical punishment of children through increased dissemination of the Joint Statement, and its endorsement, among faith/religious groups and businesses. If you have ideas or contacts that might be helpful, please contact Sylvia Graham (as below). Your assistance will be most welcome.

5. **New URL for the Joint Statement:** – Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario has re-designed its website, and the Joint Statement and related material, has a new URL – http://www.cheo.on.ca/english/4220.shtml. Organizations that currently link to the Joint Statement webpage on the CHEO website should ask their webmaster to update the link.

If you have questions or would like copies of the printed Joint Statement, please send a note to Sylvia Graham at sygraham@cheo.on.ca or leave a message at (613) 737-2393 #2475.
Encouraging International Developments
The pace of law reform is accelerating rapidly worldwide. Seventeen nations have now prohibited all forms of violence against children. Further reforms are expected in the near future, signalled by events and developments in October.

Professor Paolo Pinheiro, the independent expert appointed by the United Nations Secretary-General to lead the Study on Violence Against Children, gave a progress report to the UN General Assembly. In his report, he described the Universal emphasis on the need to ban corporal punishment that he has heard in his consultations with children and experts from all regions of the world. He concluded his report by stating that the continued legality and prevalence of corporal punishment against children will be a key area of focus of his work in the coming year. A number of the organizations that have endorsed the Joint Statement endorsed the report prepared by The Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children for the Study on Violence Against Children (our memo of April 15, 2005).

In Berlin, Maud de Boer-Buquicchio, Deputy Secretary-General of the Council of Europe, stated that, for the Council of Europe, children are not mini-persons with mini-rights, mini-feelings and mini-human dignity. They are vulnerable human beings with full rights which require more, not less, protection. It is therefore absolutely unacceptable that when it comes to the protection of their physical and psychological integrity, they should be worse off than adults.

In Washington, a delegation led by Save the Children Sweden and the Andean Commission of Jurists recommended that the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights declare all corporal punishment of children a breach of their human rights. The delegation requested that the Commission seek an advisory opinion from the Inter-American Human Rights Court. If the Court accepts the petition, it could find that states all across the Americas have legal obligations to prohibit all forms of corporal punishment.

An initiative inspired by and modelled after our Canadian Joint Statement has been launched by EPOCH-USA (End Physical Punishment of Children). Their document will have a similar name, content and purpose. It appears to have attracted early and wide support from American organizations and professionals concerned with the well-being of children.
Bill S-21
This private member’s bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code of Canada, sponsored by Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette, like all other bills still before the House of Commons and the Senate, will die if an election is called soon. The bill had passed second reading and was being studied by the Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs. A number of private member’s bills to repeal or amend s. 43 have been tabled and failed in both houses of Parliament over the years.

Senate Interim Report on Rights of Children
The Standing Senate Committee on Human Rights has just released its Interim Report Who’s In Charge Here?: Effective Implementation of Canada’s International Obligations with Respect to the Rights of Children. One of the Committee’s primary aims was to analyze the obstacles to the protection of Children’s rights required by the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. The Committee is convinced that “children’s rights in this country are not understood, or indeed provided”. There is a very brief statement about corporal punishment that gives no hint as to what recommendation might be made in the Final Report due by March 31, 2006. The document is available from the Committee Clerk’s office (613-990-0088) and on the Senate website at http://www.parl.gc.ca/38/1/parlbus/commbus/senate/com-e/huma-e/rep-e/rep19oct05-e.pdf.

TPH Open letter to the Prime Minister
Again this year, to coincide with National Child Day (November 20), Toronto Public Health will advocate, in an Open Letter to the Prime Minister, that Canada honour its obligations as a signatory of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child. It will urge repeal of section 43 of the Criminal Code which justifies parental use of physical punishment. The letter will be published in the Globe & Mail national edition, the Toronto Star, and The Hill Times. Many of the organizations that have endorsed the Joint Statement have signed the Open Letters.

Joint Statement
The Joint Statement material on the Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO) website (http://www.cheo.on.ca/english/1120.html; http://www.cheo.on.ca/francais/1120.html) has been updated. A poster summarizing the document’s key findings, conclusion, key recommendations, endorsements and impact can be viewed and downloaded.

Endorsements continue to be received and are most welcome. Saskatoon Public Schools is one of the most recent. It is the first school board in Canada to endorse the Joint Statement; more school boards are expected to do so in the next few months. Seven provinces and three territories prohibit physical punishment of students by law. Alberta, Manitoba and Ontario do not. The Canadian Teachers’ Federation is on record as being firmly opposed to physical punishment, though it continues to oppose repeal of section 43 of the Criminal Code.

If you have questions or would like copies of the printed Joint Statement, please send a note to Sylvia Graham at sygraham@cheo.on.ca or leave a message at (613) 737-2393 #2475-1.
Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

To: Endorsers of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

From: Pamela Mountenay Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: July 25, 2005

Re: JFCY Newsletter & update on bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code

______________________________________________________________________________

Justice for Children and Youth Newsletter on physical punishment

We are forwarding the Justice for Children and Youth Spring/Summer 2005 Newsletter that focuses on corporal punishment. Justice for Children and Youth is the legal clinic operated by Canadian Foundation for Children, Youth and the Law that launched the constitutional challenge to section 43 of the Criminal Code. The feature article in their newsletter discusses the challenge. There is also a summary of the Joint Statement, the bill before the Senate to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code, and other legislative amendments in Canada.

Update on Senate Bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code

The private member’s bill (Bill S-21) to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code of Canada, sponsored by Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette, had passed second reading and was being studied by the Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs when Parliament adjourned for the summer. The Committee will continue to study the Bill when Parliament reconvenes. Following the appearance of witnesses, the Committee will prepare a report on the Bill. If it is passed by the Senate it must be considered by the House of Commons.
Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

To: Endorsers of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

From: Pamela Mountenay Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
       Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: June 6, 2005

Re: Physical punishment in schools & update on bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code

______________________________________________________________________________

Physical punishment of children in Canadian schools
The Government of Saskatchewan intends to ban physical punishment of students in its public schools. In his announcement, Learning Minister Andrew Thomson observed "While the use of corporal punishment in public schools is not a form of discipline that is currently being used, this change to The Education Act reinforces non-violent behaviour.” Though the legislation prohibits corporal punishment, it does not prohibit actions that may be necessary to maintain order in schools, prevent injury to students or prevent damage to property.

With Saskatchewan’s expected ban, seven provinces and three territories prohibit physical punishment of students by law. Alberta, Manitoba and Ontario do not. There is growing pressure on these provinces to amend their education acts to ban physical punishment of children in schools. Progressive school boards have, for years, prohibited physical punishment of students by policy. Two school boards (in Saskatchewan & Ontario) are considering endorsing the Joint Statement, and more will likely do so in the next few months. The Canadian Teachers’ Federation is on record as being firmly opposed to physical punishment, though it continues to oppose repeal of section 43 of the Criminal Code.

Update on Senate Bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code
The private member’s bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code of Canada, sponsored by Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette, has passed second reading. Study of the Bill by the Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs began June 1 when Sen. Payette appeared before the Committee. Peter Newell, Co-ordinator of the Global Initiative to End all Physical Punishment of Children was a witness at the Committee June 2. Following the appearance of witnesses, the Committee will prepare a report on the Bill. If it is passed by the Senate, it will be considered by the House of Commons. Sen. Payette and others have cited the Joint Statement in discussing the need to repeal s. 43.
To: Endorsers of the *Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth*

From: Pamela Mountenay Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
      Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: April 15, 2005

Re: Invitation from the Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children for input into the UN Secretary-General's Study on Violence Against Children

The much-anticipated UN Secretary-General's Study on Violence Against Children will reflect information and recommendations from around the world. Canadian input to this landmark report will be through a North American consultation. The Global Initiative to End All Corporal Punishment of Children – a highly-regarded international alliance of human rights agencies, key individuals and non-governmental organizations dedicated to the elimination of corporal punishment of children around the world – is writing comprehensive regional reports that will undoubtedly carry significant weight in the UN study. The Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth has been asked by Peter Newell, the distinguished children’s rights advocate who heads the Global Initiative, to forward to the organizations that have endorsed the *Joint Statement* the attached invitation and information.

We apologize for the lateness in forwarding this material to you. We hope that, despite the short time line, you will be able to consider this strategic opportunity to advance the well-being of all the world’s children – by their protection from physical punishment – through the vehicle provided by this invitation from the Global Initiative. The accompanying documents provide information about the UN Study and the Global Initiative’s input into the Study. We suggest you read the documents in the following order: “Letter from Peter Newell”, “Recommendations included in GI reports”, “Caribbean Report Global Initiative”.

**Senate Bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code update**

As you know, Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette tabled a Private Member’s Bill to repeal section 43 of the *Criminal Code* of Canada last December. Bill S-21 has passed second reading and will be studied by the Senate Standing Committee on Legal and Constitutional Affairs. If the bill is passed by the Senate it must be considered by the House of Commons. Sen. Hervieux-Payette has cited the *Joint Statement* to support repeal of s. 43.

**Joint Statement update**

With the *Joint Statement* successfully launched, Phase I of the initiative is completed. The Coalition has begun to plan Phase II. For the present, we continue to:
1. welcome and invite endorsement of the Joint Statement by Canadian organizations concerned about the well-being of children (153 endorsements have been received to date); and

2. disseminate the information about physical punishment of children contained in the Joint Statement through the auspices of many organizations and individuals, the CHEO website and distribution of the printed document (we have ordered a second printing).

If you have questions or would like copies of the printed Joint Statement, please send a note to Alison Chayka at achayka@cheo.on.ca or leave a message at (613) 737-2393 #2502.
Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

To: Endorsers of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

From: Pamela Mountenay Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: January 18, 2005

Re: Senate bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code and Joint Statement update

______________________________________________________________________________

Senate Bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code
The Coalition wants to ensure that all endorsers of the Joint Statement are aware that a private member’s bill to repeal section 43 of the Criminal Code has been tabled in the Senate. Section 43 is the controversial law that has, for over 110 years, provided a defence for parents and teachers who use reasonable physical punishment to correct a child’s behaviour. The Supreme Court announced last June, in a split 6-3 decision, that it found s. 43 to be constitutional. The Court narrowed the definition of who may use physical punishment, on what ages of children, by what means, with what force and in what circumstances. The decision was disappointing. There is no research evidence that children of any age who experience any form of physical punishment – whether permitted or not by s. 43 – are immune to the risks discussed in the Joint Statement.

Bill S-21 is a straightforward repeal of s. 43 that would come into force a year after proclamation. It was introduced by Senator Céline Hervieux-Payette in December. It is now at second reading and expected to be referred to a standing committee of the Senate where it would be thoroughly reviewed. If the bill is ultimately passed by the Senate it will be considered by the House of Commons. Previous private member’s bills to repeal s. 43, tabled in the House of Commons and the Senate, failed to gain Parliamentary approval but did prompt useful discussion of the issues surrounding physical punishment of children. Sen. Hervieux-Payette has cited the Joint Statement to support repeal of s. 43. She has asked us to forward the attached material to endorsers of the Joint Statement. We have also attached a list of Senators’ contact information.

Joint Statement update
With the Joint Statement successfully launched, the Coalition will soon consider next steps in the initiative. Some are already in place. The document is posted on the CHEO website along with related information that is regularly updated; endorsements continue to come in, are most welcome, and are acknowledged on the website; and a commitment has been made by the document’s co-authors, Joan Durrant and Ron Ensom, to a second edition when research and developments merit. We will advise you of any further plans for the initiative.

The Joint Statement pages on the CHEO website are: www.cheo.on.ca/english/1120.html and www.cheo.on.ca/angi/bancia/1120.html. If you would like copies of the printed Joint Statement (we will pay shipping) or you have questions about the initiative, please send a note to Alison Chayka at achayka@cheo.on.ca or leave a message at (613) 737-2393 #2502.
Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

To: endorsers of the *Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth*

From: Pam Mountenay Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
       Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: February 9, 2004

Re: Supreme Court decides section 43 of the Criminal Code is constitutional

As you are undoubtedly aware by now, the Supreme Court of Canada has announced its long-anticipated decision regarding the constitutionality of section 43 of the *Criminal Code* of Canada. This controversial law has, for over 110 years, provided a defence for parents, teachers and others standing in the place of parents who use physical punishment for purposes of correcting a child’s behaviour so long as the force used is reasonable in the circumstances.

In a split 6-3 decision, the Supreme Court found section 43 to be constitutional. However, the Court narrowed the definition of who may use physical punishment, on what ages of children, with what force, and in what circumstances. Only parents may use reasonable physical punishment; teachers may use reasonable force only to “secure compliance with instructions, but not merely as corporal punishment”. Only children older than two and not yet teenagers may be physically punished. Only “minor corrective force of a transitory and trifling nature” may be used; “the use of objects or blows or slaps to the head is unreasonable”. The physical punishment must be “corrective, which rules out conduct stemming from the caregiver’s frustration, loss of temper or abusive personality”, and “the gravity of the precipitating event is not relevant”.


The Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth is disappointed with the decision and concerned about its implications. The growing body of published peer-reviewed research summarized in the *Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth* reveals “that the physical punishment of children and youth plays no useful role in their upbringing and poses no useful role in their upbringing and poses only risks to their development”. More specifically, the research reveals “strong evidence that physical punishment places children at risk for physical injury, poorer mental health, impaired relationships with parents, weaker internalization of moral values, antisocial behaviour, poorer adult adjustment, and tolerance of violence in adulthood.”

The Supreme Court’s declaration that “harmful conduct [harmful physical punishment] is not protected [by section 43]” is mystifying, because there is no indication in research that children between the ages of two and 12 are at any less risk of emotional and developmental harm from physical punishment than are infants and adolescents. Additionally, there is no research evidence...
that repeated “transitory and trifling” physical punishment is any less emotionally and developmentally harmful to children than more forceful punishment.

This decision by the Supreme Court falls far short of the recommendation in the Joint Statement that “Children in Canada must be given the same protection from physical assault as that given to Canadian adults and to children in a growing number of countries.” The message inherent in upholding this law is that Canada still permits children to be hit and hurt.

This strong legal message undermines public receptivity to educational strategies to reduce the use of physical punishment. A major thrust of the recommendations in the Joint Statement is that “Public awareness strategies must be developed to inform all Canadians about the risks associated with physical punishment.” But many parents will reason that if the law still permits its use, surely physical punishment cannot be harmful to children; and that if it is not harmful, there is no reason to heed educational messages urging the use of positive alternative methods of discipline.

It is uncertain why the Supreme Court takes comfort in its view that “the government has adopted a program designed to educate parents and caregivers on the potentially negative effects of using corporal punishment against children”. The Court’s view is at odds with the fact that the government’s educational efforts to date have been extremely limited and invisible to most Canadians. Moreover, the Court has not recognized that the inherent message in its decision that children may still be hit and hurt undermines future educational initiatives.

Reactions to the Supreme Court decision from some of our Coalition members and Joint Statement endorsers may be found at:
http://www.cwlc.ca/pdfs/CWLCDisappointedJan30.pdf (member)
http://www.cps.ca/english/media/NewsReleases/EffectiveDisciplineJan30.htm (endorser)
http://www.oacas.org/Whatsnew/announcements/sccpressrelease_04_jan30.pdf (endorser)
http://www.repeal43.org/news.html (endorser)

Endorsers of the Joint Statement are reminded that they are free to use and cite the pre-publication edition of the Joint Statement as suits their purposes. The final edition of the Joint Statement, anticipated in April, will include the Supreme Court decision and the findings of a Decima poll on Canadians’ views on section 43.

The pre-publication edition of the Joint Statement and related documents, including a list of endorsers to date, may be downloaded from the CHEO website, in English at http://www.cheo.on.ca/english/1100.html, in French at http://www.cheo.on.ca/francais/1100.html.

Questions about the Joint Statement may be directed to Dennise Albrecht at albrecht@cheo.on.ca or (613) 737-2393 #2220.
Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

To: endorsers of the Joint Statement on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth

From: Pam Cain, Chair, Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth
       Dennise Albrecht, Joint Statement Secretariat, Children’s Hospital of Eastern Ontario

Date: December 12, 2003

Re: Joint Statement is in the public domain and may be cited

In anticipation of the pending decision of the Supreme Court on the constitutionality of section 43 of the Criminal Code of Canada, the Coalition on Physical Punishment of Children and Youth wants to assure endorsers of the Joint Statement that the document is in the public domain and may be publicly cited.

We encourage organizations and individuals to cite the Joint Statement, as it may serve their purposes, in communications regarding any aspect of physical punishment of children and youth or child well-being.

The pre-publication edition of the Joint Statement and related documents, including a list of endorsers to date, may be downloaded from the CHEO website, in English at http://www.cheo.on.ca/english/1100.html, in French at http://www.cheo.on.ca/francais/1100.html.

We expect to publish and launch the final edition of the Joint Statement in the spring. This should give us time, we hope, to incorporate the landmark section 43 decision in the document. It also provides more time for many organizations considering endorsement to complete their review. The deadline for endorsements has been extended to the end of February.

The Coalition has been gratified by the number of endorsements the Joint Statement has received to date, the positive comments of so many reviewers, and the ways it has been cited and used to advance education and advocacy regarding the risks of physical punishment specifically and the well-being of children and youth generally.

Questions about the Joint Statement may be directed to Dennise Albrecht at albrecht@cheo.on.ca or (613) 737-2393 #2220.